

# Q & A on the Role of Organized Religion in Controlling Population Growth

(September 2016)



by

**KEVIN GALALAE**

The following questions were asked by Peter Valentino and were fielded in advance of our radio interview. I am publishing my answers at this time because there was no time to cover them in the radio interview but also because the Church under Pope Francis has taken extraordinary steps to address its negative influence on global policy.

In response to my hunger strike this summer, Pope Francis has approved the use of contraceptives and has also opened the door for the acceptance of abortion by giving all priests the power to forgive mothers who abort, a power hitherto reserved for bishops. These two changes in Church doctrine have effectively opened the way for legislating replacement level fertility as they enable governments to openly pursue their demographic objectives.

The only structural obstacle now remaining that prevents the abandonment of covert methods of depopulation and the adoption of population control legislation that limits family size to two children only is democracy itself.

The Church has moved out of the way.

On a personal level I can now rightfully assert that I am the only person in history and also the only non-Catholic to have changed Church doctrine. On a policy level, however, we have all won a huge victory. We are also about to assume an awesome responsibility; the responsibility of limiting human life on the planet, which until now was the Church's Holy Grail but will henceforth be the patrimony of mankind, as I have stated in my 20<sup>th</sup> prayer, filmed in Italy on 25 May 2016:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M976PVE4tjs>

### **1. Is genocide simply a historic affect of Judaeo-Christianity?**

Throughout history human societies, irrespective of culture and religion, have used mass murder to pre-empt hunger and social collapse. The Egyptians worked the excess population to death by forcing them to build massive works of engineering that were of no benefit whatsoever to the community at large, as they were dedicated to the dead and to pharaohs only.

The Incas, the Aztecs and several other Mesoamerican cultures performed human ritual sacrifice of horrific proportions and diabolical cruelty to keep the population within food supply and meek. The Hindus divided society in four castes and one of the castes, the untouchables, were placed on the lowest rung to be socially excluded so they could be treated with utter disdain and condemned to perpetual hunger, misery and early death to relieve material pressures on the other three castes.

The Persians, the Chinese, the Japanese, the Ottomans and the Romans engaged in perpetual war to wean back their numbers. Jews have been without a land of their own through much of their

history and that has allowed them to escape the need to control their own numbers but has condemned them to being controlled by others wherever they migrated, which is why pogroms, expulsions, the Holocaust and forcible conversions dominate their history. Christians have controlled their numbers by orchestrating war and by waging biological war with the help of the Bubonic plague, and through the depredations of the Inquisition when monasticism and marriage prescriptions proved insufficient.

Judeo-Christians, therefore, are not unique. What sets them apart is that they are responsible for enshrining genocide in our present-day secular institutions, as they are behind the formation of the United Nations Organization. And the UN is a secularized version of the population control effort, which until the 20<sup>th</sup> century had been fully and solely in the hands of organized religion.

You see, the history of civilization is very much the history of population control and controlling the number of people in society has always been done through genocide.

The only major religion that has not used genocide to solve its material and existential problems is Islam, which is why Islam is being attacked by the entire international community; a community that is entirely committed to genocide and entirely perverted by it. Islam, however, has had to compensate for its unwillingness to commit genocide by continuously expanding into the territories of others, therefore exporting their overpopulation problem, and by instituting the strictest mating norms and rendering the entire society into a cloister for women so that the reproductive urges and therefore the bearing of children is restricted to married couples only. But since mating norms have no influence on the number of children born within marriage the number of Muslims is growing by leaps and bounds, both numerically and especially proportionally, and unless stopped Muslims will replace the rest of mankind and once that happens, if it is allowed to happen, they too will find that the world is not big enough for unrestricted population growth and they too will have to start committing genocide, like all other religions, or impose replacement level fertility like the Chinese have rightfully done.

To get away with mass murder, religious leaders the world over have blamed God or the gods for their chosen form of genocide. It could very well be that the gods and subsequently God, since monotheism is a relatively late arrival, were invented for this very reason, or at least God was hijacked by man to serve this purpose. Those in control of society, any society, need to have someone to blame for crimes that they must commit to balance people and resources and thus remain in control of society and to keep society under control. Genocide committed for population control purposes has always been blamed on God by men who have described it as a sacrifice demanded by God. Human sacrifice, be it through ritual or conflict, is therefore a consequence of man's struggle to keep the number of people in balance with the available resources.

God as defined by organized religions is a human invention just as the gods that men worshipped prior to organized religion were a human invention. The shamans or witch doctors that existed

in the times when the largest human community was the tribe used the instrument of divine communication or revelation when they asked the oracle for guidance for a problem that tribal leaders could not solve or resolve. God then solved a predicament men could not overcome. Man has invented this higher authority to reach consensus and avoid conflict.

Since God is beyond human reach and retribution, secular and religious leaders have deferred responsibility onto God for crimes committed by man. And the common man, who could obviously not go after God, could only submit to God's will and entreat God for mercy. Men, however weak, can challenge other men, however strong, but they cannot challenge God. That is why those who claim to know the will of God have always been in control of human life on the planet. And it is due to this form of control that social man became subservient to religion and to society. That is how he has been forced to give up his absolute individual rights for social harmony and peaceful coexistence and how he has become a prisoner of religion and now a prisoner of the UN system.

Religion is the ultimate power grab, but also the best instrument ever devised to stop and prevent conflict and to forge consensus in human societies where everything is relative and nothing is absolute. That is why to this day religious leaders are in control of the UN and why every government deems it necessary to have a state religion. The few multi-religious states that exist still rely on moral direction from spiritual leaders with respect to population control, which is the ultimate moral problem.

Controlling population growth through genocide is a decision of awesome moral weight whose burden could only be borne by those who claim to know the will of God and who are strengthened by this knowledge, whether real or illusory.

Generally speaking the depopulation effort has evolved from being directed at other tribes to being self-directed, from being brutal to being more humane, and from open to hidden methods.

It was secularized in 1945 with the formation of the UN and to this day the UN receives its direction from religious leaders.

The role of population control is to pre-empt and minimize the suffering caused by material limitations, limitations imposed on us by existence itself and the difficulty of surviving and of securing our creature comforts in a natural environment with limited resources.

Religion has in a sense humanized the task of controlling human life on the planet, both in terms of numbers and in terms of conduct. It rescued man from an animal existence which would have condemned him to remain at the mercy of his primal instincts, the instinct to procreate and the instinct to survive, which are the two instincts addressed by depopulation and globalization.

But to do this, to help man climb out of savagery, religious leaders have had to bamboozle man in first believing in a higher force that watches and judges everything we do, and then to accept

that only some men know the will of God and that the rest must obey those men who purportedly speak in God's name.

And to get away with this, religion has had to monopolize knowledge and to prevent the dissemination of knowledge, as it is far easier to control the ignorant than the educated. An ignorant man easily submits to power, whereas an educated man always questions power before he submits, if at all.

But ultimately all men, educated or not, must submit to the general consensus derived from men who purport to know the will of God, if perpetual conflict is to be avoided and that is why in a global world a single moral code is an inevitable necessity. The Judeo-Christian morality has imposed itself on the world as the gold standard, but it is as flawed as any other, because it is based on genocide.

Unless mankind experiences a new axial age and gives birth to a single and common moral standard capable of transcending genocide there will be no consensus, no peace, no justice and no security.

The world needs a new and common faith, one capable of empowering and inspiring people across the world to believe in themselves and to assume personal responsibility for the task of balancing human life and death on the planet so that peace and prosperity can become possible without perpetual genocide and preposterous institutions, equilibrium can be re-established between man and Nature and life can continue to thrive and evolve, and continuity can be ensured between this and future generations so the living do not offload their responsibilities onto the unborn.

In this new faith the individual will reign supreme and institutions and corporations will be relegated to the past.

The current religious leaders are trying to fill those shoes but they cannot possibly succeed as they are prisoners of clearly defined religious traditions and of worldviews that belong in the distant past despite their claims to universality and timelessness. They cannot escape the shackles of their dogmas unless they redefine their religion in which case they invalidate existing doctrines.

I call this new faith OM and I am its first proponent.

The OM Principles and the Laws of Conscience and Consciousness that I have drafted complete what all current religions lack, as they provide an expanded purpose for man as planetary or not merely national citizens, thus of citizens with global responsibilities. They also provide fundamental guidelines for how humanity can become protector of life.

The Roman Catholic Church has tried to seize the moment and re-establish its moral primacy by drafting *Laudato Si*, the encyclical "Praise be to You: On Care for our Common Home", but it

cannot possibly succeed because it failed to tell the world that sustainability is not possible without population control and that the Church has practiced population control and has committed genocide for centuries. The Church is tainted by its long history of genocide and by its culture of secrecy that has enabled it to commit genocide while being the world's highest moral authority.

The Church can no longer deceive the world. It lost its moral authority the moment I exposed its role as chief architect of the new world order and as the oldest practitioner of genocide for population control purposes.

## **2. Is the UN's Agenda 21 or Agenda 2030 intrinsically genocidal or tending towards depopulation of certain unwanted ethnic groups?**

The sustainability agenda is not possible without bringing the global population down to about 4 billion and the UN and its architects are unwilling to risk telling the world that they have enshrined genocide in the international order in order to accomplish sustainability. They are prisoners of their own lies.

Agenda 2030 is a continuation of Agenda 21. It is the world's newest geopolitical programming or the latest incarnation of the population control program, since all 17 Sustainable Development Goals that make up Agenda 2030 hinge on completing the engineered depopulation program, which is euphemistically known as the demographic transition in the parlance of the UN system.

Ethnic groups are targeted only to the extent that they sit on vital natural resources that need to be accessed and exploited. But intrinsically, Agenda 2030 and anything emanating from the UN system is non-prejudicial as it is the result of political consensus among all cultures and countries represented at the UN and there are 193 UN Member States to date.

The population control program, however, is being abused by national authorities and by corporate interests who sometimes do engage in ethnic cleansing. Indigenous people throughout the world suffer because the Global Depopulation Policy provides the perfect cover for exercising bigotry and prejudice and for committing genocide without being held accountable.

Partners in genocide cannot accuse each other of committing genocide.

## **3. How many people in Western countries do you suppose are aware of the UN's Agenda 21 or Agenda 2030?**

Most everyone has heard of it and almost no one knows much about it. The UN, you see, is an elitist organization that has no use for the common man and where the common man has never been welcomed.

The UN is an ivory tower that has been sheltered from democratic checks and balances so it can pursue depopulation covertly through genocide and globalization coercively through plutocracy.

It is for these reasons that hardly anyone is familiar with the UN's agenda. At best people are familiar with the UN's public statements, which are often diametrically opposed to its real actions.

#### **4. Do you think that comparing Agenda 21 to communism is a good hook for Westerners who were brought up hating Commies?**

Agenda 21 resembles communism in its dependence on central planning, central control, and central coercion, even if the planning control and coercion are arrived at by mutual agreement through consensus. Similar to a one party state the UN dictates geopolitical goals and the world's nations and by extension all people must abide or be excluded from the international community, which is the euphemism used to refer to the plutocracy that defines the UN system.

The UN alone is in charge of global policy. It has no competition. Due to these characteristics one is not at all amiss to compare the UN with communism. The UN represents a form of global tyranny.

But unlike communism, the UN is not driven by political ideology but by material realities. Its concerns are not political but existential. Its actions are not defined by class struggle but by the struggle for common denominators. And its decisions are not the dictates of a single political party but the compromises of an entire global community. The UN cannot order a country or a people to do anything. It can only persuade or exclude. The UN is also apolitical. For these reasons one would be amiss to compare it with communism. The UN represents a form of global government by compromise between the world's elites. It is a democracy for the elites and a tyranny for the rest of us who have no say in the decision making process of the UN.

Its failures cannot be blamed on the misconceptions of a group of people in power but on the irreconcilable differences of the world's governments.

#### **5. Who do you feel is behind the UN?**

Conceptually, the UN is the creation of the United States and the United Kingdom, whose leaders defined the Allied goals for the post-war world in a statement that was originally known as the "Joint Declaration" but that subsequently came to be known as the Atlantic Charter and was issued in 1941. British Prime Minister Winston Churchill and American President Franklin Roosevelt are credited as its authors.

In terms of military might, it is the US, Russia and the UK who are behind the UN, thus the Allied Powers that won the Second World War and could impose their will, and did impose their will, on the losing Axis Powers who at the end of the war surrendered unconditionally. Incidentally, all three nations are Christian; the US being primarily Protestant, the UK Anglican and Russia Orthodox, but also in all three nations the state controls religious authorities or there is, at least in name, a separation between Church and State.

In terms of financial might, it is the world's wealthiest individuals and royal families, who were initially all Christian or Jewish but who in the meantime represent all races and cultures.

In terms of moral authority, it is the world's spiritual leaders, who were initially all Christians and Jews, but who in the meantime represent all major organized religions. The biggest denomination within the biggest religion, with the most centralized structure, and the most ambitious plans for global dominance is Roman Catholicism, which is why the Holy See has the most power to influence the UN.

While America's Protestantism, Britain's Anglicanism and Russia's Orthodoxy are tamed by the state and by a balance of forces between the State and the Church, the Vatican's Catholicism is not tamed by any temporal power. This is another reason why Catholic doctrine, more than any other religious worldview, shapes UN policy.

But ultimately, UN policy is dictated by the world's limited choices, harsh existential realities, and imperfect consensus.

## **6. Are you aware of the Vatican structures which influence the UN?**

The Vatican, through the myriad arms of the Church, helps the men it selects from the ranks of the faithful rise to positions of power. It does this in the economic, social, cultural and political spheres so that society reflects its worldview, the Christian worldview, which is the only worldview the Vatican truly cares about and that it wants to see embodied in all aspects of society. This is part of its evangelizing drive, which the Church despite its rhetoric, has never abandoned. The Church works towards an international world order that is shaped and dominated by Christian values and Christianity more than any other religion is based on genocide as an instrument of social stability.

It influences the UN in several ways. First, by reserving for itself special privileges. The Vatican was the first and only non-UN member state to have observes status at the UN since 1964, which entitles it to attend all sessions of the United Nations General Assembly, the UN Security Council, and the UN Economic and Social Council. To better supervise and influence the UN the Holy See has established permanent observer missions in New York and in Geneva. It is the only religion to have this.



The Vatican knows everything the UN does and can influence it through the nation states that abide by its moral dictates without being responsible for the outcomes. The Vatican has chosen this arrangement because it knows full well that due to its prohibition on abortion and contraceptives and the permission it gave secular authorities in 1953 to sterilize only while healing a disease the UN is forced to commit genocide to prevent the moment of conception. By not being a UN Member State the Vatican cannot be made responsible for the genocide the UN and national governments commit. To make sure it cannot be made responsible it has also drafted its permission to sterilize in such a way as to pretend innocence. Paragraph 15 of *Humanae Vitae: On the Regulation of Birth* states:

### ***Lawful Therapeutic Means***

**15.** On the other hand, the Church does not consider at all illicit the use of those therapeutic means necessary to cure bodily diseases, even if a foreseeable impediment to procreation should result there from—provided such impediment is not directly intended for any motive whatsoever.

Officially, however, the Holy See did not join the UN as a full-fledged member because the US rejected the Holy See's inquiry into becoming a member state, an inquiry it made in 1944. The US Secretary of State at that time, Cordell Hull, said, and I quote: "*Membership in the organization would not seem consonant with the provisions of Article 24 of the **Lateran Treaty**, particularly as regards spiritual status and participation in possible use of force.*"

The *Lateran Treaty* is the agreement made in 1929 between the Kingdom of Italy and the Holy See, settling the so-called "Roman Question", which had been unresolved since 1870 when the newly formed Italian state annexed the Vatican's territories.

The agreement guaranteed the full and independent sovereignty of the Holy See in return for a pledge from the Pope to perpetual neutrality in international relations and to abstention from mediation in a controversy unless specifically requested by all parties.

This provision is at the basis of the separation of Church and State and it is due to this provision that the Vatican has been relegated to work indirectly to influence social and political outcomes. I contend that the UN is its proxy or has become its proxy. The UN allows the Vatican to bypass the provision of neutrality that was imposed on it in 1929. It is a secularization of its efforts to unite the world in common purpose.

The Vatican alone has enjoyed the status of a permanent non-member observer state since 1964 and until 2015 when Palestine was given this status as well. To date only the Vatican and Palestine have this status.

The Church has always worked through proxies and it has done this to keep its image pristine. It allows the Church to commit global crimes while keeping its hands clean and letting others take

the fall for its decisions and manipulations. The Church would not be able to keep its pristine image if found to be directly involved in genocide. That is why it acts in such a way as to be able to claim plausible deniability.

Secondly, the Vatican exerts influence on the UN through an instrument that it has created and successfully used for centuries, namely the concept of humanitarian diplomacy, which is why the Vatican owns a quarter of all hospitals in the world and has diplomatic missions or diplomatic contact with virtually every country on earth. And medicine and public health we now know due to my work, as well as diplomatic secrecy and sovereign immunity, are the fronts behind which the global depopulation genocide hides.

Third, through its religious orders, especially the Sovereign Military Order of Malta and Opus Dei, and its many fraternal service organizations, such as the Knights of Columbus (who has nearly two million members), the Vatican has infiltrated the ranks of every state institution in every Christian country and many non-Christian countries, of every western intelligence agency and many non-western intelligence agencies as well as many national and intergovernmental policing agencies. INTERPOL, for instance, is completely dominated by the Church. So is the CIA and to a lesser extent the former and current KGB. Canada's CIA, the so-called Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS), is completely overrun with Opus Dei members and the same is true for every country that is Catholic in the majority, be it western or non-western.

This gives the Church the ability to implement policies it sanctions and to prevent the implementation of policies it does not sanction. It also gives the Church the ability to gather intelligence on every person of influence and to use that information to destroy or promote that person to positions of power so long as that individual works with or for the Church.

Most if not all NGOs are infiltrated, dominated, or entirely created by the Church and as such serve the Church's interests. The Red Cross is the perfect example of an NGO dominated by the Church.

One could say that the Church has invented the concept of Soft Power, which it exercises through its ubiquitous presence in society at every level and in every domain, be it in education, law enforcement, media, or political parties.

Fourth, the Vatican also exercises influence through its embassies around the world as well as through direct contact with heads of state and government throughout the world. Not a single week goes by without some president, prime minister or high representative visiting the Pope. And all these visits as well as the content of the discussions are strictly confidential.

The Order of the Knights of Malta, for instance, has a presence in 120 countries on five continents, and boasts of diplomatic relations with 106 nations and 30 international organizations. It is also the only organization with full observer status at the UN, a privilege it enjoys since 1994. So not only is Roman Catholicism the only religion with a constant UN

presence and UN status, the Church is twice represented through the Vatican and the Sovereign Military Order of Malta.

Fifth, the Church exercises political control by dominating and supporting conservative political parties around the world and exercises economic control by creating billionaires and controlling corporations. This allows it to bypass the separation of Church and State that was imposed on it in the nineteenth century. Few people are aware, for instance, that IG Farben, the largest chemical and pharmaceutical company in the world in the 1930s and 40s and the corporation responsible for helping the Nazis exterminate millions of Jews, was controlled by Catholics. In fact most if not all its 21 executives were Catholics.

The Church exercises ultimate control on the international scene by being the hidden force behind the United Nations. Globalism, after all, is merely a secular expression of the Church's aspiration for a world united in purpose. But since the billions of individuals belonging to the world's other dominant religions could not be converted to Christianity, the Church had to settle for a secularized version of its dream for global unity.

I concur with the Church's objectives, but not with its methods. Its methods, however, may have been the only way to get where we are. And that I must concede if I am to be fair.

That the Church and the UN are tied at the hip is implicitly and even explicitly stated in UN speeches given by three of Pope Francis' predecessors: Pope Paul VI in 1965, Pope John Paul II in 1979 and 1995, and Pope Benedict XVI in 2008.

Of these four speeches by far the most revealing is that given by Pope Paul VI in October 1965 (See full transcript here: <http://www.bebold4.com/nytimespeech.pdf> ).

At the beginning of the speech, Pope Paul VI asserts his independence "*of every other sovereignty of this world*" to make clear that he alone is above all other sovereignties and answerable to no one.

Then he suggests that an organization such as the UN, where people from across the globe can engage in dialogue, has been the Church's mission for 20 centuries.

*"Like a messenger who, after a long journey, finally succeeds in delivering a letter which has been entrusted to him, so we are conscious of living through a privileged moment, however brief, which fulfills a desire nourished in our heart for nearly 20 centuries. For, as you will remember, we have been journeying long, and we bring with us a long history; we here celebrate the epilogue of a wearying pilgrimage in search of a conversation with the entire world, ever since the command was given to us: "Go and bring the good news to all peoples." Now, you here represent all peoples."*

Then he spells out, in seven succinct points, the Church's message to the UN, and, interestingly, in point two he states that the Church has always been part of the UN and inside the organization, and in point six he even addresses "*the great problem of the birth rate*", which no subsequent pope could do as the depopulation genocide advanced and the need for secrecy increased:

Point one:

*"...a solemn and moral ratification of this lofty institution. This message comes from our historical experience. As "an expert in humanity", we bring to this organization the suffrage [i.e. the right to vote] of our recent predecessors, that of the entire Catholic episcopate and our own, convinced as we are that this organization represents the obligatory path of modern civilization and of world peace."*

Point two:

*"The edifice which you have constructed must never again fall, it must be perfected and made equal to the needs which world history will present. You mark a stage in the development of mankind: from now on retreat is impossible, progress essential."*

*"this is our praise and our wish, and, as you can see, we do not bestow these as from outside. We derive them from inside, from the very essence of your institution."*

Point 3:

*"You are a bridge between peoples. You are a network of relations between states. We would almost say that your chief characteristic is a reflection, as it were, in the temporal field of what our Catholic church aspires to be in the spiritual field: unique and universal. In the ideological construction of mankind, on the natural level one can conceive nothing superior to this."*

*"Is there anyone who does not see the necessity of coming thus progressively to the establishment of a world authority, able to act effectively at the juridical and political levels?"*

Point 4:

*"Let no one, as a member of your union, be superior to the others: Never one above the other, this is the formula of equality. ...You are not equal but here you make yourselves equal."*

Point 5:

*"Never again war. Never one against the other, never again, never more. Was it not principally for this purpose that the United Nations arose: Against war, in favor of peace? Listen to the lucid words of a great man, the late John Kennedy, who declared four years ago: "Mankind must put an end to war, or war will put an end to mankind."*

*The roads [to peace] are already well marked out for you, the first is that of disarmament. If you wish to be brothers, drop your weapons."*

*...you are studying the ways of guaranteeing the security of international life without recourse to arms. This is an aim worthy of your efforts, this is what the peoples expect of you, this must be achieved. Let unanimous trust in this institution grow, let its authority increase; and this goal, one may hope, will be attained.”*

Point 6:

*“...you work here not only to avert conflicts between states, but also to make states capable of working one for another. ...you organize brotherly collaboration among peoples. In this way a system of solidarity is set up, so that lofty civilized aims may win the orderly and unanimous support of all the family of peoples for the common good and for the good of each individual. This aspect of the United Nations is the most beautiful; it is its most truly human aspect; it is the ideal of which mankind dreams on its pilgrimage through time...”*

*“Respect for life, even with regard to the great problem of the birth rate, must find here in your assembly its highest affirmation and its most reasoned defense. Your task is to ensure that there is enough bread on the tables of mankind, and not to encourage an artificial birth control, which would be irrational, in order to diminish the number of guests at the banquet of life.”*

Point 7:

*“Man is his own enemy. ...We must get used to thinking of man in a new way; and in a new way also of man’s life in common; in a new way, too, of the paths of history and the destiny of the world, in accordance with the words of Saint Paul: “To put on the new man, which after God, is created in righteousness and the holiness of truth. ...For the danger comes, not from progress, nor from science – on the contrary, if properly utilized, these could resolve many of the grave problems which assail mankind. The real danger comes from man himself, who has at his disposal ever more powerful instruments which can be employed equally well for the destruction or for the loftiest conquests.”*

He concludes his speech by urging everyone to “*seek spiritual principles*”.

*“Seek spiritual principles. In a word, then, the edifice of modern civilization must be built upon spiritual principles, which alone can not only support it, but even illuminate and animate it. We believe, as you know, that these indispensable principles of superior wisdom must be founded upon faith in God.”*

Pope John Paul II, who succeeded Pope Paul VI, addressed the UN in October 1979 and in his speech he reiterated the supremacy of the Holy See and its independence from all other sovereignties, as well as the “*special bond*” between the Church and the UN. (See full speech here: [http://w2.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/speeches/1979/october/documents/hf\\_jp-ii\\_spe\\_19791002\\_general-assembly-onu.html](http://w2.vatican.va/content/john-paul-ii/en/speeches/1979/october/documents/hf_jp-ii_spe_19791002_general-assembly-onu.html))

*“The formal reason for my intervention today is, without any question, the special bond of cooperation that links the Apostolic See with the United Nations Organization, as is shown by the presence of the Holy See's Permanent Observer to this Organization. The existence of this bond, which is held in high esteem by the Holy See, rests on the sovereignty with which the Apostolic See has been endowed for many centuries. The territorial extent of that sovereignty is limited to the small State of Vatican City, but the sovereignty itself is warranted by the need of the papacy to exercise its mission in full freedom, and to be able to deal with any interlocutor, whether a government or an international organization, without dependence on other sovereignties. Of course the nature and aims of the spiritual mission of the Apostolic See and the Church make their participation in the tasks and activities of the United Nations Organization very different from that of the States, which are communities in the political and temporal sense.*

*I hope that the United Nations will ever remain the supreme forum of peace and justice, the authentic seat of freedom of peoples and individuals in their longing for a better future.”*

The speech given by Pope Benedict VI at the UN in April 2008 uses the coded language of policy makers and technocrats to hide from the general public the true meaning and full extent of what is said.

(See full transcript here:

[http://w2.vatican.va/content/benedict-xvi/en/speeches/2008/april/documents/hf\\_ben-xvi\\_spe\\_20080418\\_un-visit.html](http://w2.vatican.va/content/benedict-xvi/en/speeches/2008/april/documents/hf_ben-xvi_spe_20080418_un-visit.html))

Those who are unaware of the depopulation program would completely miss the criticism Pope Benedict levels at those who misuse science and technology to damage fertility and longevity in order to stop population growth.

*Here our thoughts turn also to the way the results of scientific research and technological advances have sometimes been applied. Notwithstanding the enormous benefits that humanity can gain, some instances of this represent a clear violation of the order of creation, to the point where not only is the sacred character of life contradicted, but the human person and the family are robbed of their natural identity. Likewise, international action to preserve the environment and to protect various forms of life on earth must not only guarantee a rational use of technology and science, but must also rediscover the authentic image of creation. This never requires a choice to be made between science and ethics: rather it is a question of adopting a scientific method that is truly respectful of ethical imperatives.*

But now that we are aware of what he is talking about, his message, however well crafted, becomes offensive because the Church is clearly complicit in genocide by its unwillingness to tell people that they are being poisoned into extinction.

With typical papal hypocrisy, he then speaks of the “responsibility to protect” and of human dignity when by his silence he is an accessory to genocide and, more than this, due to the Church’s ban on contraceptives, he and the church fathers are directly responsible for the poisoning of humanity into sterility, morbidity and premature death, which is the greatest affront to human dignity and the most blatant betrayal of the responsibility to protect.

## **7. What significance do you place on the Vatican's role in the UN throughout its history?**

The Holy See is the oldest organization in the world with the most experience in controlling population growth and human behavior. Its experience with population control goes back at least one thousand years. It is the first organization with a global presence, with global ambitions and with an international character. And it is the first organization to gather information from throughout the realm in a systematic way and to devise a way of doing this, which is the confession, the precursor to polls and statistics gathering. All these features have been passed down to the UN.

The Church’s early awareness that expanding populations impinging on limited resources is the primary cause of misery comes forth in the speech of Pope Urban II from 1095 AD when he summoned Christians in his native France to the First Crusade. He said:

*“For this land which you now inhabit, shut in on all sides by the sea and the mountain peaks, is too narrow for your large population; it scarcely furnishes food enough for its cultivators. Hence it is that you murder and devour one another, that you wage wars, and that many among you perish in civil strife. Let hatred, therefore, depart from among you; let your quarrels end. Enter upon the road to the Holy Sepulchre; wrest that land from a wicked race, and subject it to yourselves.”*

This passage shows that the Church had a clear understanding how an imbalance between population and resources affects social stability way back in the 11<sup>th</sup> century and that it encouraged the conquest of Muslim lands to alleviate the pressures on resources caused by overpopulation in Christian lands.

The Vatican practiced population control centuries before the UN came into being. And the UN came into being because the Vatican has willed it. And once the UN was created the Vatican more than any other entity has shaped the UN and has done this by dictating what the UN can or cannot do.

That is why the UN reflects the Vatican’s nature more than anything or anyone else. It is global in scope, elitist, hierarchical, secretive, manipulative, coercive, duplicitous, shameless, and deceptive. These are all Vatican features. It is also above the law, cannot be held accountable,

cannot be forced to pay compensation to victims, and is protected by sovereign immunity. These too are Vatican trademarks.

On the positive side, the UN reflects the Vatican's aspirations for common global denominators and for universal standards of jurisprudence, economic rules and ethical norms so the world can transcend "collective forms of selfishness" to secure peace and accomplish universal prosperity.

**8. What significance does it have to you that the Pope, the political leader of a foreign nation, spoke before a joint session of Congress, a right commonly reserved for the President of the United States?**

It is an acknowledgement of the Pope's importance as the moral anchor of the Christian world at a time when the Christian world is falling apart and needs guidance. It is also in recognition of the power he wields as the highest moral authority in Christendom. In the final analysis, all heads of state and government of Christian nations take their lead from the Pope with respect to controlling population growth, and that includes the US. And now that I exposed the Global Depopulation Policy and the Church's role in it, America's establishment of power needs guidance from the Pope as to how to redirect and what to do next and they want the Pope to go on record.

Incidentally, only two other foreign leaders have addressed Congress, which can only happen if all senate members and house representatives vote unanimously to allow a foreign dignitary to speak to them.

The other two foreign dignitaries who have addressed a Joint Session of Congress are: French Ambassador Andre de Laboulaye, on the 20<sup>th</sup> of May 1934, to mark the centennial of the death of Marquis de Lafayette, and Cuban Ambassador Guillermo Belt, on the 19<sup>th</sup> of April 1948, to commemorate the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Cuban independence after the Spanish-American War of 1898.

**9. Are you aware that he mentioned the UN's programs throughout his speech?**

It is not what Pope Francis says that I take issue with, but what he fails to say and do. What he says is beyond reproach, but what he refuses to say is beyond contempt.

(See full transcript of Pope Francis' speech at the UN here:

<http://www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/full-text-pope-francis-speech-united-nations/> )

In his speech he mentions social justice, economic exclusion, the plight of migrants, the money driven arms trade, and the destruction of the environment and these are UN concerns too, as they are our universal concerns. His encyclical letter on the environment, *Laudato Si*, and the UN's



Sustainable Development Goals converge and that is not a bad thing because care for our planet is a common concern and as such a common denominator and the world needs common denominators more than anything else.

What offends me is that Pope Francis who is supposed to be Christendom's highest moral authority deigns to talk about the Golden Rule with respect to the treatment of migrants and of the poor but utters not a single word about the mistreatment of the entire global population with chemical and biological poisons for depopulation purposes, which is an infinitely more egregious violation of the Golden Rule in both scale and scope than any and all other issues that weigh on mankind.

As such, everything he says, irrespective how wise and decent it sounds, is rendered into a grand hypocrisy by what he refuses to say, which would require an admission of guilt since the Church is responsible for the manner in which the UN system and governments around the world control population growth through genocide.

The Church and the UN rightly want to rid the world of poverty and heal the planet, but they want to do it by eliminating many if not most of us from the face of the earth and that is unacceptable. The Church and the UN are collaborators in genocide but they portray themselves as protectors of mankind and the planet. With friends like these who needs enemies?

They will save the world alright but not for us since we will be eliminated from the face of the planet with the Vatican's blessing and guidance.

### **10. What is the difference between Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030?**

The former was voluntary and non-binding while the latter is mandatory and binding. But both are UN action plans with regard to sustainable development, Agenda 21 being its first draft and Agenda 30 its latest.

Agenda 21 was made public at the UN Conference on Environment and Development, the so-called *Earth Summit*, held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, where 178 governments voted to adopt the program.

Agenda 2030 or the Sustainable Development Goals (or SDGs) was agreed upon by 193 nations in September 2015 at the UN headquarters in New York.

The master plan is comprised of 17 "Post-2015 Sustainable Development Goals" with 169 specific "targets". And its manifesto is called "*Transforming Our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*."

The program is a follow-up to the last 15-year UN plan, the "Millennium Development Goals," or MDGs, which was the progeny of Agenda 21 and ran from the year 2000 to the year 2015.

## 11. Why did the Pope in his speech to UN only talk about his Agenda 2030 and not mention Agenda 21?

Because Agenda 2030 is the latest iteration or incarnation of Agenda 21.

What I find extraordinary is how openly and unapologetically he defends the UN and that he defends it for violating democratic checks and balances and the rule of law. When he praises the UN in his speech for its ability to, I quote, “*overcome all natural limits to the exercise of power*”, he is condoning the UN’s undemocratic, unlawful, and oligarchic nature, which are indeed necessary to impose on the world covert depopulation and coerced globalization. But we can have peace without poison and prosperity without slavery; in other words we can stabilize the global population and share vital natural resources without genocide and tyranny.

The Pope’s defense of the UN, the strongest of its kind, is one akin a father defending his child for doing naughty things. And that is precisely what the UN is to the Vatican, its child, its creation.

In effect, the UN is the Vatican’s method of imposing theocracy or ecclesiocracy on the world; theocracy being a form of government in which a deity is the source from which all authority derives.

The Vatican’s intent on using the UN as a well concealed theocracy or indirect theocracy becomes evident when one reads or listens to the five speeches given by four different popes at the UN: the one given by Pope Paul VI in 1965, the two speeches given by Pope John Paul II in 1979 and 1995, the one given by Pope Benedict XVI in 2008, and the most recent one by Pope Francis in 2015.

They all assert the Vatican’s independence “of every other sovereignty of this world” and they all insist that the UN ought to be ultimately rooted in spiritual principles and the will of God, which it alone purports to know at least as far as the Christian world is concerned. This is a polite way of saying that the Vatican is the ultimate authority behind the UN or that the Vatican has ultimate say in giving moral direction to the UN.

And that is exactly what has happened, which is why the depopulation program proceeds along the line dictated by the Vatican and spelled out in the encyclical letter *Humanae Vitae: On the Regulation of Birth*, which gives secular authorities permission to sterilize people so long as they heal them of a disease in the process of sterilizing them.

With the last encyclical letter issued by the Vatican, *Laudato Si* (“Praise be to You”), subtitled “On Care for our Common Home”, the Vatican once again gives direction to the UN, this time to redistribute the population from high density to lower density areas rather than to distribute and encourage contraceptives. The Vatican refuses to openly advocate contraceptives because that would invalidate its Doctrine of Papal Infallibility, which is the foundation of the Church’s moral

authority over all other sovereign authorities. It would be an admission that previous popes have erred and the Church would rather poison half of humanity into oblivion than admit that it was wrong to prohibit artificial birth control.

The fact that the Vatican refuses to change the encyclical letter *Humanae Vitae: On the Regulation of Birth* by no longer permitting secular authorities to sterilize while healing a disease, as I have repeatedly asked them to do, means that the UN and governments around the world continue to enjoy the Church's moral permission to commit genocide on the sly and to do so until sustainability is accomplished, which means until the population drops to circa 4 billion.

Throughout this genocide the Vatican will cover the UN's back and vice versa and they and the 193 UN Member States will continue to commit genocide while hiding behind plausible deniability.

The Vatican's hypocrisy has infected the entire world. And the Vatican continues to keep the gates of hell open so the global genocide can be completed. No wonder the third prophesy of Fatima envisions all Church leaders being executed by people and soldiers.

The Vatican will push ahead with the global genocide until at least half the world's population is killed or its leaders meet the end foreseen by the Third Prophecy of Fatima.

This the Vatican sees as God's work.

I take the Chinese model of fulfilling God's work any time over the Vatican model. The Chinese model is honest and open and empowers and entrusts humanity with the task of balancing life and death by limiting the number of births through the law, whereas the Vatican model is deceptive and concealed and empowers institutions and corporations to poison humanity into sterility and morbidity so as to balance life and death by enshrining covert methods of genocide at the global governance level.

Yet Pope after Pope has had the gull to stand before the world and talk about human rights and dignity, about the rule of law and the sanctity of the family when in reality they are the greatest enemies of human rights and dignity, of the rule of law, and of the family.

To get away with it the Vatican has enshrined itself as the world's highest moral authority and has enshrined the UN as the world's highest temporal authority.

That way no one can challenge them and no one can stop their system of global governance by genocide.

**12. Does it seem apparent to you that these “agendas” are coming through the Vatican?**

They have the Vatican’s stamp of approval, as they must since no national leader would be crazy enough to assume moral responsibility for committing genocide. Political leaders come and go and they know that such a crime would not go unpunished so they have deferred responsibility for the crimes committed in the name of population control onto the Church, a responsibility the Church has always assumed and has never abrogated.

These agendas take decades to accomplish and no government has decades. They can only be undertaken by the Church.

The Pope answers to no one but God. And this is God’s work. But someone has to do it because society is entirely out of God’s hands.

**13. You yourself demonstrated outside the Vatican. So, do you think of the Vatican as being centric to the vast amounts of evil being done on our planet?**

The Vatican is in charge of balancing human life and death on the planet and in this task death is as valuable as life. The Vatican must wield both to be able to impose this equilibrium on human society, which is an entirely artificial construct and therefore entirely out of God’s hands. The Church does in society what God does in Nature; balances life and death to preserve the harmony of creation and man’s creation is society itself. Therefore the evil it commits in the world is equalled only by the good it does for the world. The Church is responsible for the greatest humanitarian acts in history and also for the vilest acts in history.

What offends me is that the Church refuses to move out of the way and allow mankind to take responsibility for balancing human life and death on the planet, which it could easily do with far fewer negative consequences than the top-down approach employed by the Vatican, an approach that keeps the world prisoner to obsolete organizations, primitive methods, unnecessary abuses, elitist structures, tyrannical institutions, universal hypocrisy, pervasive dishonesty, and perpetual genocide.

The Church’s primary concern, of course, is its own survival and pre-eminence. Mankind is a distant second.

We can do better than that.

#### **14. Could we legitimately state that these UN agendas are declarations of war against our sovereign nations?**

National sovereignty ceased to exist in 1945 when the UN was created and membership in the UN was premised on the partial abandonment of sovereignty. True national sovereignty has of course only existed for very brief periods of time.

What we have since 1945 is the illusion of sovereignty or at best a greatly diminished sovereignty; sovereignty diminished by the international security prerogatives of depopulation and globalization.

To be a UN Members State nations subscribe to the global matrix of control that was devised by the Allied Powers and scripted by Judeo-Christian leaders in 1941 in the Atlantic Charter to avoid repeating the failures of the League of Nations, the precursor to the UN.

Countries that refuse to subscribe to this global matrix of control are shut out of international trade, barred from accessing vital natural resources, sanctioned and attacked until a pliable government comes into power. They are in other words starved until they comply.

This global matrix of control devised by the Allied Powers is an axis with two wheels: covert depopulation and coerced globalization, none of which can be accomplished without wide-sweeping intrusions into national sovereignty.

The UN agendas are therefore not a declaration of war against national sovereignty per se, but against human fertility and longevity, necessary to balance births and deaths on the planet and thus stabilize the population, and against national selfishness, necessary to make vital natural resources available to all nations at the same price irrespective where they are found, which is the basis of lasting international peace and universal prosperity.

It is a war with benevolent intentions carried out by malevolent means.

#### **15. What is your opinion of the power of popular dissent?**

It is the beginning and end of all power, which is why dissent is fought so bitterly by any and all governments irrespective of political color or culture and why political and social progress is so slow in coming.

It is also the only legitimate power. Without majority consent there can be no democracy and there can be no legitimacy. For a government to be legitimate it must have the consent of the people and the mandate of the people.

Popular dissent is evidence that the government in power no longer has the consent and the mandate of the majority and is therefore illegitimate.

Popular dissent is also evidence that something fundamental and structural is not working in society. People only come out to express their dissatisfaction and to dissent when society is truly falling apart and the pain is universal and can no longer be ignored.

We are now at such a point in our history and this time the dissent is global which indicates that the problem is caused by the international system, which is incapable of addressing the structural and fundamental problems that have emerged because world leaders refuse to reform the UN and to rephrase the international accommodation on which the UN is based, namely the depopulation / globalization axis.

Unless world leaders start listening to the many voices of dissent and act accordingly the world will descend into chaos. The international system we have, however, is based on its ability to ignore the will of the people and to bypass democratic checks and balances, which is why it is incapable of changing with the times. The disconnect between the elites and global population is too great to bridge and it cannot be bridged so long as the system is dependent on covert depopulation, which by necessity empowers a small and privileged elite to annihilate the vast and disenfranchised majority.

That is why the system we now have is doomed to collapse and has indeed collapsed but those in power refuse to admit it and to move out of the way to make room for a new system, one that reflects the will of the people and entrusts people with the responsibility of bringing humanity in harmony with nature.

### **16. How do you feel about provocative or newsworthy acts of civil disobedience?**

They are an absolute necessity to awaken the sleeping and indifferent masses out of the apathy induced by propaganda and long-term and chronic chemical poisoning.

And they are equally necessary to send a clear message to policy makers that they are failing us and that now is the time to change course.

### **17. What do you think the government would do in such a case?**

If the acts of civil disobedience are large enough governments will fall, as they have always fallen when they no longer reflect the will of the people. The governments we have today are particularly vulnerable because they have delegitimized themselves when they became a party to the global depopulation genocide. We are being governed by unlawful governments, which have placed themselves above the law to deprive us of our fundamental rights and liberties so as to commit genocide. It is only a matter of time until enough people realize this. Unfortunately, by the time they realize it will too late for at least half the population of the developed world.

Japan's latest statistics indicate this, for in Japan already half the population is no longer capable of reproduction and that means the end of their genetic lineages. It is much the same situation elsewhere in the developed world, but we are just five to ten years behind Japan.

We are now in the eye of the storm. And shortly we will be swept by the greatest upheaval in history, one that will end with the annihilation of all existing power structures and of those who are now at the top of society and who refuse to move aside.

**18. How many people make up a critical mass which effects change?**

Were this merely a national predicament we would only need 10%, but because we are prisoners of an international system of genocide it will take at least 20%.

**19. Since the Vatican's Monsanto is the main purveyor of poisonous food on the planet what are the ways that we can get around the New World Order's insistence that we eat their poisonous food?**

We have to start growing our own crops again. In the meantime we must support the burgeoning organic agriculture.

**20. Is there any form of actual, that is not criminal or covert, global brotherhood between nations that can work in a real way to remove the UN terrorists and ensure our planet's peace?**

The brotherhood you speak of is growing by leaps and bounds and I am its father and the truth its mother.

**21. Have you ever heard of the Knights of Malta, and are you aware of their activities?**

Yes I have. It is the oldest religious order in the world going back to the 11<sup>th</sup> century. They do a lot of good in the world but some of their humanitarian work is tied to the depopulation effort. I looked at their website to better answer your question and here is what I found under the rubric latest interventions:

*On February 2nd, Malteser International, the Order of Malta international Relief Corps, began operations to contain the Zika Virus in the Colombian departments of La Guajira and Magdalena. The virus, transmitted by a type of mosquito, has been associated with severe brain damages in infants, and a range of other neurological complications. In the remote and severely*

*impoverished areas of Colombia where the Order of Malta relief corps has been working since 2014, facilities for treating the disease are extremely rare, meaning that stopping the virus from spreading is an even more pressing concern. “At the moment, we are working on preventing the spread of the virus by informing the population, and we will be providing families – especially those including pregnant women – with prevention kits including mosquito nets, repellent and insecticide,” said Jelena Kaifenheim, Malteser International’s Latin America Coordinator.*

Colombia’s total fertility rate is 1.9 children per woman but that of the La Guajira department is 4.1, by far the highest in the country, and the total fertility rate of the Magdalena department is 3.1 children per woman, the fourth highest in the country. That is why the Knights of Malta are spraying sterilizing insecticides there purportedly to stop the Zika virus, which is the latest WHO pretext to mass sterilize people, and why they are providing families with pregnant women prevention kits that contain sterilizing repellents and insecticides as well as mosquito nets which are coated with sterilizing insecticides and that act as the contraceptives of the developing world.

The Knights also boast of the following on their website:

*A new medical center offering primary care to families and children will soon be inaugurated in Haina, San Cristobal, in the Dominican Republic. The center - which will have consulting rooms for patients, a dispensary, clinical laboratories and parking spaces for altogether 2,670 square meters - will offer consultations in the main medical fields: pediatrics, obstetrics, dentistry, general medicine. Over 40 doctors and nurses will provide daily assistance to the local communities with a special focus on mother-infant care, such as neonatal assistance, breastfeeding and nutrition. The clinic will also provide prevention programmes for breast and cervical cancer, diabetes and tuberculosis monitoring and prevention, and HIV virus monitoring, education and prevention. It will also carry out vaccination campaigns and raising awareness projects for the local population on home violence and child abuse prevention.*

In other words, while providing free medical care to the poor of the Dominican Republic the Knights of Malta will subject these hapless people to involuntary sterilizations in the form of adulterated vaccines, such as the tetanus toxoid vaccine, under the cover of child and maternal care. You see the international community, the UN system, the Church and western NGOs do not give anything for free unless what they give serves as a covert method of depopulation or enables the delivery of a covert method of depopulation.

One must ask why would a religious order have the status of a sovereign nation? The answer is because that gives its representatives sovereign immunity and that is the only legal cover for those who commit genocide for population control purposes.